

United States Patent and Trademark Office-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/355,268	04/13/2000	Nicholas Dominic Wells	50060-034 6198 EXAMINER		
75	90 02/03/2004				
McDermott Will & Emery			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
600 13th Street Washington, D	NW C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	. 1	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)				
n							
Office Action Summany	09/355,26	8	WELLS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Michael N.		correspondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 January 2001</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	is action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	<u>o</u> .		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

- 2. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:
 - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
 - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
 - (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
 - (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/355,268

Art Unit: 2655

(k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

3. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Regarding claim 5, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 6. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the analysis" in line 5 of claim 6 (the first recitation of 'the analysis'). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2655

As per claim 19, the phrase "decoded audio signal preventing sensible use of information" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear as to the scope of 'sensible use of information'.

As per claim 20, the phrase "tell-tale" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear as to the scope of what is defined as "tell-tale".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-4,6-8,10-16,18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u>.

As per claims 1,6, Davis et al (5583962) teaches:

"a method of audio signal handling.....audio signal, characterized.....comprises:" as decompressed formatted encoded data (fig. 5, col. 8 lines 39-64);

"deriving an auxiliary data signal.....communicating the auxiliary data signal.....re-encoding the decode audio signal.....data signal" as decoding the formatted

Art Unit: 2655

data, extracting spectral information, calculating a steering quantity and re-encoding the information wrt the steering information (col. 8 line 65 – col. 9 line 60);

As per claim 2, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches:

"wherein the auxiliary data signal....audio signal as steering information is associated with multi-channel, multi-band information (col. 9 lines 30-38)

As per claim 3, Davis et al (5583962) teaches:

"wherein the auxiliary data signal....audio signal" as steering info is associated with spectral information (col. 9 lines 40-46)

As per claim 4, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches:

"wherein the auxiliary data.....audio signal" as deformatter contained sample time information (col. 32 line 60-65; referring back to the TDAC, col. 8 lines 25-37)

As per claim 7, Davis et al (5583962) teaches:

"wherein the analysis comprises application of a sub-band filter bank (subband analysis (col. 6 lines 33-43))

As per claims 8,15,16 <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches:

"wherein the auxiliary data...sub-band....and the method of quantisation within each sub-band......encoded audio signal frequency" as steering information reflects the result

Art Unit: 2655

of frequency analysis of the subbands (Col. 9 lines 1-21; and the subbands using the higher frequency ranges)

As per claim 10, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches frequency analysis information (frequency sub-bands -- col. 9 lines 1-21; examiner notes that the claimed features are in the alternate (or) language and therefore when the prior art meets one of the claim limitations, all of the claim limitations are met)

As per claim 11, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches a common signal path for the auxiliary data and the decoded data (Fig. 8)

As per claims 12,18, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches a data integrity check in the form of a signal notifying the quality of the data (col. 7 lines 1-16)

As per claims 13, 14 <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> teaches user data bits which can be in lsb format (col. 16 lines 5-30, referring to Table I)

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/355,268

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Davis et</u> al (5583962) in view of <u>ISO/IEC 11172-3 (1993)</u>.

As per claims 9 and 17, <u>Davis et al (5583962)</u> is silent on the use of the encoder/decoder technique with respect to MPEG type data, however, <u>ISO/IEC 11172-3 (1993)</u> teaches subband analysis with header, bit allocation, and scalefactoring manipulations (pp71-73). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of encoding/decoding to modify the teachings of Davis to be used for MPEG data because the system as designed by Davis et al works well with psycho-acoustic based data (Davis et al, col. 5 lines 30-62).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

Art Unit: 2655

13. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to: (703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 10/29/2003

DORIS H. TO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600